SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING RESEARCH COUNCIL RUTHERFORD APPLETON LABORATORY

#### COMPUTING DIVISION

### DISTRIBUTED INTERACTIVE COMPUTING NOTE 808

R W Witty

PERQ UNIX Implementation Note # 60 Benchmark results for PNX Release 1 Issued by James Collis

22 February 1983

My fre

#### DISTRIBUTION:

K Robinson C Prosser A S Williams L O Ford I D Benest T Watson E V C Fielding J C Malone P J Smith A J Kinroy C P Wadsworth J R Collis M Ritchie ICL Dalkeith P Palmer ICL Dalkeith RL Support/PERQ/Unix Implementation Notes File CBP PERQ Evaluation and Benchmarking

1. Introduction

This note compares the results of benchmarking PNX Release 1 on a half MB PERQ, with the results already obtained for :-

PDP 11/70 PERQ running Accent Unix (1 MB) PERQ running early Microcode Unix (half MB)

in PERQ UNIX Implementation Note 40. The earlier results are reproduced with the results for PNX.

2. Summary of results.

Though individual results vary, in general the PNX Release 1 benchmark results are very similar to the earlier Microcode UNIX, which is as one would expect. Overall PNX is slightly slower, writing to disc in particular (see Kashtan), while some system calls are much faster. Noting that the PDP 11/70 results were obtained while only one person was using the machine, the PNX results compare favourably.

- 1 -

PDP an ¢

#### 3. C Instructions

Single Precision (16 bits)						
Instruction	AccUnix   PERQ	Mi-Unix PERQ	PN X PE RQ	PDP 11/70		
<pre>FOR loop (1) REPEAT loop (1) WHILE loop (1)     x = 0;     x = a;     x = a/2*3+4-5;     x = a/a*a+a-a;     ar[j] = ar[j-1]; IF (x&lt;50) ;ELSE; IF (x&lt;1) ;ELSE; 5 nested proc calls     with value par's     with ref par's     x = a + b;     x = a + b;     x = a - b;     x = a # b;     x = a # b;     x = a # b;     x = a % b;     x = m;     *p++;</pre>	195 157 197 2.3 3.7 41 52 22 13 13 484 590 522 14 14 24 33 33 5 11	239 220 239 6 11 35 60 22 11 9 78 120 99 15 15 15 24 32 32 8 6	256 237 255 6 12 36 63 25 13 10 78 126 102 18 18 18 26 34 34 8 6	48 48 55 2.4 2.4 14 16 6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.4 110 117 114 4 7 10 10 3 4		

Times for various instructions in C using single precision. All times are in microseconds unless otherwise specified.

# (1) 10 iterations of each loop.

The PNX results are slightly slower all round than the earlier version, by on average between 5% and 10%. These 16 bit results compare poorly with the PDP 11/70, but are not so important because the PERQ is a 32 bit machine.

Times for various instructions in C using double precision. times are in microseconds unless otherwise specified.

Double	Precision	(32 bits)		
Instruction	AccUnix PERQ	Mi-Unix PERQ	PN X PE RQ	PDP 11/70
<pre>FOR loop (1) REPEAT loop (1) WHILE loop (1)     x = 0;     x = a;     x = a/2*3+4-5;     x = a/a*a+a-a;     ar[j] = ar[j-1]; IF (x&lt;50) ;ELSE ; IF (x&lt;1) ;ELSE ; 5 nested proc calls     with value par's     with ref par's     x = a + b;     x = a + b;     x = a + b;     x = a / b;     x = a # b;     x = a % b;     x</pre>	232 194 222 1.9 4.2 36 44 40 14 12 484 537 504 9 9 9 19 28 28 10 11 24 23 72 70ms 208ms >1sec	155 147 156 1.4 2.1 28 36 22 7.6 5 78 103 102 4 4 13 21 21 21 4 6 20 14 15 223us 24ms 26ms	158 155 157 2.1 2.8 28 37 22 7.4 4.2 78 104 102 4 104 102 4 104 102 4 104 102 4 103 21 21 21 4.9 6 23 15 15 243us 19ms 19ms	102 122 89 5.3 5.4 97 102 11 2.3 2.1 110 130 132 8 8 46 55 56 6 5 4 9 22 370us 8ms 7ms

(1) 10 iterations of each loop.

These results again are very similar to before, the exceptions being the improvement in the last two system calls for file statistics (stat), and opening and closing a file. The PNX results compare well with the PDP, especially as all PDP benchmarks were run while only one person was using the machine, and so give a favourable impression of the 11/70 which is a multi-user machine.

A11

- 3 -

#### 4. Kashtan Programs

Programs kt5 and kt6 are the only ones to highlight any differences between the early Microcode and PNX.

- kt5 Writes 2 MBytes to disk.
- kt6 Randomly positions within a disk file, writes half KByte on to disk and repeats 4000 times.
- kt7 Two processes signal each other 20,000 times.
- kt8 One process signalling itself 1,000 times.
- kt9 Writes 5 MBytes to itself through a pipe.
- kt10 Transmits 5 MBytes between two processes through a pipe.
- kt11 Two processes each transmitting 5 MBytes to each other.

All times in minutes and seconds.

	PDP 11/70			Microcode Unix			PNX Release 1		
Name	Real	User	Sys	Real	User	Sys	Real	User	Sys
kt5 kt6 kt7 kt8 kt9 kt10 kt11	1:42 30 1:24 5 1:00 1:06 2:15	0.1 0.7 1.3 0.0 0.4 0.3 0.7	22.1 10.4 25.9 2.5 47.7 26.2 53.9	45 7:53 1:10 5 32 42 1:24	0.1 0.2 2.2 0.0 0.3 0.7 0.6	27.8 3:58 32.4 4.1 30.7 19.2 50.8	2:40 9:41 1:14 5 34 46 1:30	0.2 0.1 0.7 0.0 1.1 0.5 0.6	28.3 5:15.8 31.8 4.0 33.1 20.1 40.8

## 5. Zilog Programs

What each program does:

Sieve Disk_test	20 iterations of sieve on 0 - 8191. a) 1000 sequential writes. b) 1000 sequential reads.				
	c) 1000 random reads.				
Proc_call	1,000,000 function calls to $dummy(a,b,c)$ where $dummy$ returns $(a + b + c)$ .				
System call	10,000 calls to "getpid".				
Piper	2,000 pipe writes of 512 bytes.				
	Total of 1,024,000 bytes.				

Result times in seconds.

	sieve	disk test			proc	sys	piper
		а	b	с			
PDP 11/70 Acc Unix Microcode PNX	3.7 27.0 11.5 14.0	32.2 42.8 44.4	17.5 36.3 36.0	37.4 59.7 71.0	36.1 148.4 41.9 42.2	3.1 712 2.3 2.5	16.2 398 7.7 8.5

÷

- 5 -